

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,725		07/15/2003	Gregory M. Lanza	532512000401	1157
25225	7590	04/05/2005	EXAMINER		INER
		ERSTER LLP	KISHORE, GOLLAMUDI S		
3811 VALLEY CENTRE DRIVE SUITE 500				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2332				1615	
		•		DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
<b></b>	10/620,725	LANZA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph.D	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tined by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	January 2005.						
	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 71-79 and 82-86 is/are pending in the same shape of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 71-79 and 82-86 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document and Copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati iority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0: Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail Date    8) 5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/620,725

Art Unit: 1615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The amendment dated 1-10-05 is acknowledged. Claims included in the prosecution are 71-79 and 82-86.

Applicant is requested to include the continuation data on the first page of the specification.

#### Double Patenting

In view of the terminal disclaimer, the double patenting rejection is withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC ' 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 71-79 and 82-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lanza (5,690,907) or Lanza (5,780,010) or Lanza (5,958,371) of record.

Lanza in these patents discloses a method of delivery of an active agent to the target site using the same emulsions. The emulsions are oil-in-water emulsions

Art Unit: 1615

containing a ligand (avidin, antibodies), an active agent, and perfluorococtylbromide. The particles are coated with a lipid/surfactant. The lipids include phospholipids such as phosphatidylcholine, fatty acids (anionic) and stearylamine (cationic). It should be noted that applicant views phosphatidylcholine (1,2 diacyl-sn-glycerol-3-ethylphosphocholine) as a cationic lipid (see original canceled claim 15).

The particles are of instant sizes (note the abstract, col. 4, line 10 through col. 6, line 46. Col. 7, line 48 et seq., Examples and claims of 907; col. 4, line 25 through col. 8, line 9, Examples and claims of 010 and 371).

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered, but are not found to be persuasive. Applicant amends the claims and argues that the only discussion of biologically active agents associated with the particles described in the cited art that applicants are able to find is in column 7 of the 907 patent, beginning at line 48, at column 7, beginning at line 60 in 010 and 371 patents. According to applicant this description says merely that biologically active agents (including drugs) are incorporated in the liquid encapsulated particles and become part of the conjugate bound to a specific biological surface for therapeutic action and there is no description which requires that the drug be present in the lipid surfactant layer. These arguments are not found to be persuasive since it is known in the art that the lipophilic agents get incorporated in the lipid bilayer of the liposomes and the hydrophilic agents in the interior. The examiner cites the references of in this context. The presence of active agent in the lipid bilayer in the references teachings thus, is implicit.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/620,725

Art Unit: 1615

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC ' 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 71-79 and 82-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lanza (5,690,907) or Lanza (5,780,010) or Lanza (5,958,371) by themselves or in combination with either Adler Moore (5,656,287).

The teachings of Lanza 907, 010 and 371 have been discussed above. What is lacking in Lanza is the explicit teaching that the active agents are incorporated in the lipid layer. However, since a lipophilic active

agents such as taxanes and doxorubicin have a tendency to dissolve in lipid material and not in an aqueous medium, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the active agents taught by Lanza would be in the lipid layer.

Adler Moore while disclosing the liposomal preparation of cyclosporin teaches that water-soluble molecules get incorporated into the aqueous interior and the lipophilic molecules will tend to be incorporated into the lipid layer. The process of preparation is

similar to instant process (see instant example 1), that is, dissolving the phospholipid and the lipophilic active agent in chloroform, drying the lipid mixture and the addition of the aqueous layer (col. 2, lines 31-54).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the lipophilic active agents in Lanza are trapped in the lipid layer since similar method involving organic solvent and the addition of an aqueous medium to the lipid mixture containing the lipophilic active agent results in the incorporation of the agent in the lipid layer as evident from Adler Moore.

Upon consideration, the rejection of the claims over WO 95/03829 in combination with Long (4,987,154) is withdrawn.

1. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

**Art Unit: 1615** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph.D whose telephone number is (571) 272-0598. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM- 4 PM, alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1615

**GSK**